# NATIONAL RAILROAD PASSENGER CORPORATION OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL OFFICE OF INVESTIGATIONS INVESTIGATIVE REPORT

TITLE: Theft CASE NUMBER: 06-124 DATE OF REPORT: December 15, 2008 REPORT PREPARED BY: SA

Other Activity (Describe): Closing Report

## Allegation:

The Office of Inspector General (OIG), Office of Investigations (OI) received an allegation concerning the theft of Amtrak materials through alleged computer manipulation by employees at the

has ordered parts under a manager's access code on the computer. Once received, and and would steal the parts and use them for the allegation, the manager's access code the allegation, the manager's access code the allegation, the manager's access code the allegation and he and he and he and he and he allegations.

After completing its investigation, OI confirmed that **second** had shared his access code with another employee, and that this employee had used the code to order parts. OI, however, could not confirm the substance of the allegation, namely, that **second** or **because** ordered parts with **second**'s access code.

### Findings:

(

On February 23, 2007, OI interviewed the in reference to the above-referenced allegations (Exhibit 1). Exhibit 1). Exhibit 1). Exhibit 1) is stated that he did not have much to do with ordering materials for the projects, such as overhauling the wiring within the train car and installing 480 cables underneath the cars. Further stated that the shift foreman do most of the ordering for the projects. According to authorized employees can order material from the storehouse by using the Link One (1) program, template program or by filling out a 2070 form.

disclosed that, normally, he did not share his Link One (1) access code with any other employees, but once last week (i.e., the week of February 12<sup>th</sup>, 2007) he shared his code with a Mechanical employee, **Example 1** admitted that there was a flaw in the Link One (1) system, the flaw was that after a transaction was completed a receipt would be printed out showing the ordered items as well as the computer access code that was used to order the materials.

In March 2007, OI received a spreadsheet detailing all of the materials that were ordered through the ASAP Link One (1) system with **Example**'s access code from February 6, 2006, to December 29, 2006. This spreadsheet was provided to **Example** for review on March 8, 2007.

Also in March 2007, completed his review of the spreadsheet and indicated that he did not purchase 95% of the items listed under his Link One (1) access code.

In August 2008, OI interviewed **and the security** was asked if he knew about the Amtrak computer security and usage policy, and he answered that he never received the policy and has not seen it.

was asked if he was familiar with the Link One (1) system and if he used it frequently. replied that he was provided an access code in 2000 and that being a lead man; he is able to order any materials that are needed. If and admitted that he received very little training on how to use Link One (1) to order materials. If continued by stating that other crafts come to him and he orders materials for them as well. If admitted that foremen are supposed to complete the orders, but they are sometimes hard to find in the building. If the stated that an Amtrak employee does not have to sign for any of the materials that are dropped off. If the stated that sometimes he would have to go looking for the ordered materials in a different location because material control delivered the items to the wrong location. If the stated that this would cause unnecessary delays to the work they were assigned.

denied any involvement in the use of a Manager's access code to order materials. Was asked if he knew of any employees that he works with that have an electrical business outside of Amtrak, he answered that **he works** has an electrical business. **Here a** stated that he worked for the work of a provide the work of a provide that the work of a p

Also in August 2008, OI interviewed was asked if he knew about the Amtrak computer security and usage policy, and he answered that he never received the policy and has not seen it. Interviewed was asked if he was familiar with the Link One (1) system and if he used it frequently. Interviewed that he does not have an access code and that his foreman usually orders the materials.

denied any involvement in the use of an Amtrak Manager's code to order materials for outside of Amtrak. **Second explained** that material control makes a delivery to a specified location and that the employees retrieve the parts that are needed for a certain project. **Second stated** that you do not have to sign for the materials. **Second further stated** that frequently he has had to go

<sup>1</sup> Sector is actions as described above violated Amtrak's Computer Security and Usage policy under section 4.1.2.1. Was advised by OI to change his password and to adhere by the Amtrak Computer Security and Usage policy of not sharing your password with anyone. looking for the materials that were ordered because material control mistakenly dropped them off at the wrong location. **Example 1** explained that this would cause unnecessary delays to the work they were assigned.

While **While Manual** admitted to owning his own side electrical business with his wife, he asserted that he has not worked this side business since late 2006.

### Comments:

On September 30, 2008, OI sent the Management referral to

On December 5, 2008, OI received we's response (See Attached). As of result of OI's recommendations, we'll be responsible to issue copies of Amtrak's Computer Security and Usage policy 3.1.0 to all employees and assure all are briefed on topic including frequently changing of computer access passwords as described in the Amtrak employee Security handbook. We'll explained that they currently have an electronic requisition material report available in the Work Management system; however they are developing an additional canned report that will provide the ability for an individual user to review all material orders by his or her User-id by March 31, 2009.

#### **Recommendation:**

With the response by Amtrak Management it is recommended that this case be closed pending the development of further information.

Supervisor's Signature:	
Regional Supervisor's Signature:	Ovin
Deputy Inspector General/Counsel's (Sign)	oture: CUA 12/17/2008